

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/942,822

Applicant(s)

FAGUNDO ET AL.

Examiner

Kristie Shingles

Art Unit

2141

All Participants:

(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(2) Stoycho Draganoff, Atty.

Status of Application: FINAL ACTION

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 June 2006

Time: 1:20pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant to obtain information regarding support in Applicant's specification for the amended limitations added to the independent claims: "wherein the particular protocol does not support translated ports for requesting network resources". Applicant referred Examiner to paragraphs 0021, 0035, 0037, 0044, 0049 and 0098 of the specification which provide support and clarification for the claim amendment..